HOSPITAL MEDICINE

Individualizing Management of T2DM in the Hospital Setting to Reduce Macro and Microvascular Complications



This CME activity is provided by Integrity Continuing Education. This CEU/CNE activity is co-provided by Postgraduate Institute for Medicine and Integrity Continuing Education.

This activity is supported by an educational grant from Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Lilly USA, LLC.



Robert J. Chilton, DO, FACC **Professor of Medicine** Director Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory and **Clinical Proteomics** The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio San Antonio, Texas

Faculty Disclosures

 Consultant: Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck Sharpe & Dohme, Novo Nordisk

Learning Objectives

- Summarize correlations between macro and microvascular complications of uncontrolled T2DM and hospitalization
- Evaluate the risk/benefit profiles of novel T2DM therapies in achieving glycemic control and reducing vascular complications
- Employ evidence-based strategies to individualize treatment for diverse patients with T2DM to achieve glycemic control and reduce hospitalizations from vascular complications

INDIVIDUALIZING MANAGEMENT OF T2DM IN THE HOSPITAL SETTING TO REDUCE MACRO AND **MICROVASCULAR COMPLICATIONS**

"Birth of new CV drugs for diabetes patients"

.....reducing CV death and Cardiorenal events

Professor Robert Chilton University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, Texas **Director of Cath Lab** Director clinical proteomics center

New treatments reduce cardiorenal events....<u>but still need lower glucose</u>

Benefit

Risk / safety

"DIABETES TREATMENT IN 21ST
CENTURY IS EVENT DRIVEN"

Acute coronary syndrome and microvascular with type 2 diabetes

> Both important to patients Both insulin resistant

> > 1

U.S. National Vital Statistics

N=57000



N Engl J Med 2014; 370:1514-1523



Clinical Practice

39 y/o physician

"Bad Day in Texas"

4 weeks





Negative history-except type 2 DM BP @ cath lab 138/86

9 modifiable risk factors account for over 90% of the risk of an initial acute MI..INTERHEART



Smoking No physical activity Psychosocial stress

Too much alcohol Abdominal obesity

Hyperlipidemia Diabetes Hypertension

Low fruits & vegetables

Lancet September 11, 2004;364:937

RISK OF ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION ASSOCIATED WITH SELECTED CV RISK FACTORS-80% FROM 4 MAJOR FACTORS



Adapted from Yusuf et al

Lancet 2004; 364: 937-52

WHICH DRUGS REDUCE CV DEATH

- 1. STATINS
- 2. SGLT 2 (EMPA-REG)
- 3. SGLT2 (CANVAS)
- 4. GLP-1 AGONIST (LEADER)
- 5. GLP-1 AGONIST (SUSTAIN-5)

Answer 2/4

Overview of new cardiovascular drugs for diabetes

ONLY POSITIVE CV TRIALS FOR DIABETES

Drug	Trial	Inclusion	Ν	Mean	Baseline	HR-MACE	P- superiority
Pioglitazone	PROactive	Macrovas cular disease	5,238	2.9 yrs	7.8%/7.9%	0.84 (0.72– 0.98)	0.027
Empagliflozin	EMPA-REG	Established CV disease	7,028	2.6 yrs	8.07%/8.08 %	0.86 (0.74– 0.99)	0.04
Canagliflozin	CANVAS	ASCVD or >2 CV risk factors	10,142	3.6 yrs	82%/8.2%	0.86 (0.75– 0.97)	0.02
Liraglutide	LEADER	High CV risk	9340	3.8 yrs	8.7/8.7	0.87 (0.78– 0.97)	0.01
Semaglutide	SUSTAIN-6	Established CVD, CKD or HF	3297	2 yrs	8.7/8.7	0.74 (0.58- 0.95)	0.02

Chilton-2018 pending publication

EMPAGLIFLOZIN, AS COMPARED WITH PLACEBO, HAD A LOWER RATE OF THE PRIMARY COMPOSITE CV OUTCOMES

0.86 (CI: 0.74 to 0.99) P = 0.04 for superiority)

Percent of CV events



Primary composite outcome was death from nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1504720 EASD 2015

CARDIOVASCULAR DEATH: NNT 39 0.62 (0.49-0.77) < 0.001

1

Benefit not atherosclerotic related? 5.9 6 ARR=2.2% 5 Percentage 3.7 4 Placebo 3 2 2.4 .6 .6, 0.8 Empa 0.50.3 0.50.3 0.10.1 cy deaths death sudden death stroke shock the ch shoke shock the ch condio shock the ch worsening the other M

All deaths not attributed to the categories of CV death and not attributed to a non-CV cause were presumed CV deaths

> DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1504720 **EASD 2015**

No significant effect on MI or stroke..

Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes

Bruce Neal, M.B., Ch.B., Ph.D., Vlado Perkovic, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Kenneth W. Mahaffey, M.D., Dick de Zeeuw, M.D., Ph.D., Greg Fulcher, M.D., Ngozi Erondu, M.D., Ph.D., Wayne Shaw, D.S.L., Gordon Law, Ph.D., Mehul Desai, M.D., and David R. Matthews, D.Phil., B.M., B.Ch., for the CANVAS Program Collaborative Group*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Canagliflozin is a sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor that reduces glycemia as well as blood pressure, body weight, and albuminuria in people with diabetes. We report the effects of treatment with canagliflozin on cardiovascular, renal, and safety outcomes.

METHODS

The CANVAS Program integrated data from two trials involving a total of 10,142 participants with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk. Participants in each trial were randomly assigned to receive canagliflozin or placebo and were followed for a mean of 188.2 weeks. The primary outcome was a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke.

Outcome	anagliflozin (N=5795)	Placebo (N=4347)	Hazard Ratio (95	% CI)
no. of	participants p	er 1000 patie	nt-yr	14.
Death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke	26.9	31.5	H.	0.86 (0.75–0.97)
Death from cardiovascular causes	11.6	12.8	⊢ ● 1	0.87 (0.72-1.06)
Nontatal myocardial infarction	9.7	11.6		(0.03-10.03)
Nonfatal stroke	7.1	8.4	⊢ ●- <u>¦</u>	0.90 (0.71-1.15)
Fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction	11.2	12.6	H	0.89 (0.73-1.09)
Fatal or nonfatal stroke	7.9	9.6	⊢	0.87 (0.69-1.09)
Hospitalization for any cause	118.7	131.1	Her	0.94 (0.88-1.00)
Hospitalization for heart failure	5.5	8.7	⊢−● −−1	0.67 (0.52-0.87)
Death from cardiovascular causes or hospitalization for heart failure	16.3	20.8		0.78 (0.67–0.91)
Death from any cause	17.3	19.5	⊢ ●→Ì	0.87 (0.74-1.01)
Progression of albuminuria	89.4	128.7	HeH	0.73 (0.67-0.79)
40% reduction in eGFR, renal-replacemen therapy, or renal death	ıt 5.5	9.0	0.5 1.0	0.60 (0.47–0.77) 2.0

N Engl J Med 2017;377:644-57



Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes

Steven P. Marso, M.D., Gilbert H. Daniels, M.D., Kirstine Brown-Frandsen, M.D., Peter Kristensen, M.D., E.M.B.A., Johannes F.E. Mann, M.D., Michael A. Nauck, M.D., Steven E. Nissen, M.D., Stuart Pocock, Ph.D., Neil R. Poulter, F.Med.Sci., Lasse S. Ravn, M.D., Ph.D., William M. Steinberg, M.D., Mette Stockner, M.D., Bernard Zinman, M.D., Richard M. Bergenstal, M.D., and John B. Buse, M.D., Ph.D., for the LEADER Steering Committee on behalf of the LEADER Trial Investigators*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The cardiovascular effect of liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue, when From the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas (S.P.M.): added to standard care in patients with type 2 diabetes, remains unknown.

METHODS

ploratory outcomes.

Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (G.H.D.); Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark (K.B.-F., P.K., L.S.R., M.S.); Fried-In this double-blind trial, we randomly assigned patients with type 2 diabetes and high rich Alexander University of Erlangen, cardiovascular risk to receive liraglutide or placebo. The primary composite outcome Erlangen (J.F.E.M.), and St. Josef Hospiin the time-to-event analysis was the first occurrence of death from cardiovascular tal, Ruhr University, Bochum (M.A.N.) - both in Germany: Cleveland Clinic. causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke. The primary hypothesis was Cleveland (S.E.N.); London School of that liraglutide would be noninferior to placebo with regard to the primary outcome, Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Medical with a margin of 1.30 for the upper boundary of the 95% confidence interval of the Statistics Unit (S.P.) and Imperial College London (N.R.P.), London; George Washhazard ratio. No adjustments for multiplicity were performed for the prespecified exington University Medical Center, Washington, DC (W.M.S.); Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum

Primary composite outcome in the time-to-event analysis was the first occurrence of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke.



LEADER trial



New translational biology of human coronaries

1 trillion CV/endocrine cells..(Hum Pathol. 1987;18(3):234)(0.2 pounds)

Endothelial cell health: "the target"

CHANGING DISEASES





DOI : 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.312494 JAMA Cardiol. 2018;3(3):207-214

Life changing event

Macrovascular disease

-15



LOWERING LDL IS CRITICAL TO REDUCE CV EVENTS



LDL-105

LDL-10



Heart failure and diabetes

Inflammation Metabolic changes Abnormal ventricular relaxation Heart Fail. Rev. 17, 325–344 Herz 36, 102–115

Diastolic dysfunction Insulin resistant cardiomyocyte

↑ reactive oxygen species
↑ fibrosis / stiffness

 $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$ GLUT 4 uptake

Abnormal Ca handling

Mitochondrial dysfunction

Endoplasmic reticulum stress

Structural changes Abnormal ventricular arterial coupling (stiffness)

Preserved

EF

Chilton Sowers..NATURE REVIEWS | ENDOCRINOLOGY 2016;12:144

DIASTOLIC HEART FAILURE IS COMMON IN DIABETES



Chilton-pending publication

Young obese T2DM female with SOB



Nitric oxide

Chilton

-15

Diastolic heart failure-young diabetes patient





CV AND RENAL EFFECTS OF SGLT2 INHIBITORS

Ventricular arterial coupling



Myocardial oxygen consumption

LOWERS LV MASS AND IMPROVES DIASTOLIC FUNCTION

N = 10 with T2DM and established CVD Baseline Age = 67.6 years Baseline A1C = 7.3%



3 months after SGLT2 inhibitor

Verma S et al. Diabetes Care. 2016. DOI: 10.2337/dc16-1312

Risk reduction in HF hospitalization with empagliflozin vs. placebo over time



POF

European Heart Journal (2018) 39, 363–370

1

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes

Bruce Neal, M.B., Ch.B., Ph.D., Vlado Perkovic, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Kenneth W. Mahaffey, M.D., Dick de Zeeuw, M.D., Ph.D., Greg Fulcher, M.D., Ngozi Erondu, M.D., Ph.D., Wayne Shaw, D.S.L., Gordon Law, Ph.D., Mehul Desai, M.D., and David R. Matthews, D.Phil., B.M., B.Ch., for the CANVAS Program Collaborative Group*

ABSTRACT

н

BACKGROUND

Canagliflozin is a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor that reduces glycemia as well as blood pressure, body weight, and albuminuria in people with diabetes. We report the effects of treatment with canagliflozin on cardiovascular, renal, and safety outcomes.

METHODS

The CANVAS Program integrated data from two trials involving a total of 10,142 participants with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk. Participants in each trial were randomly assigned to receive canagliflozin or placebo and were followed for a mean of 188.2 weeks. The primary outcome was a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke.

-0	568			888
	5666			8886
	558			888
	558			888
	566			586
	588			88
	666			886
	362			882
	66			885
	65		88	88
	66			88
	562		88	99
	223			88
	ðð			885
	65			385
	88			88
	362			88
	362		\$ <u>8</u>	88
	낮옷			883
	68			883
	66			885
	66			<u> 38</u> 9
	582			88
	99			99
				885
	85			86
	66			86
			86	89
				22
				22
	99			88
_				22
		0000000	ž	

Outcome no. a	Canagliflozin (N=5795) of participants p	Placebo (N=4347) er 1000 pati	Hazard Ratio (959 ent-yr	6 CI)
Death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke	26.9	31.5		0.86 (0.75–0.97)
Death from cardiovascular causes	11.6	12.8	⊢_ ●i	0.87 (0.72-1.06)
Nonfatal myocardial infarction	9.7	11.6		0.85 (0.69-1.05)
Nonfatal stroke	7.1	8.4	⊢_ ∎- <u>†</u> (0.90 (0.71-1.15)
Fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction	11.2	12.6		0.89 (0.73-1.09)
Fatal or nonfatal stroke	7.9	9.6	⊢ •∔-i	0.87 (0.69-1.09)
Hasaltalization for any source	110.9	121.1	لمن	0.04 /0.99 1.000
Hospitalization for heart failure	5.5	8.7	⊢_ ●I :	0.67 (0.52-0.87)
Death from cardiovascular causes or hospitalization for heart failure	16.3	20.8		0.78 (0.67–0.91)
Death from any cause	17.3	19.5	⊢ ●–•]	0.87 (0.74-1.01)
Progression of albuminuria	89.4	128.7	HEH	0.73 (0.67-0.79)
40% reduction in eGFR, renal-replacement therapy, or renal death	ent 5.5	9.0		0.60 (0.47-0.77)
			0.5 1.0	2.0

Canagliflozin Better Placebo Better

IMPORTANCE OF HEART FAILURE





Eur Heart J 2002; 23: 458-466

Framingham 40 year follow up N=5070



Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes

Steven P. Marso, M.D., Gilbert H. Daniels, M.D., Kirstine Brown-Frandsen, M.D., Peter Kristensen, M.D., E.M.B.A., Johannes F.E. Mann, M.D., Michael A. Nauck, M.D., Steven E. Nissen, M.D., Stuart Pocock, Ph.D., Neil R. Poulter, F.Med.Sci., Lasse S. Ravn, M.D., Ph.D., William M. Steinberg, M.D., Mette Stockner, M.D., Bernard Zinman, M.D., Richard M. Bergenstal, M.D., and John B. Buse, M.D., Ph.D., for the LEADER Steering Committee on behalf of the LEADER Trial Investigators*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The cardiovascular effect of liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue, when From the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas (S.P.M.); added to standard care in patients with type 2 diabetes, remains unknown.

METHODS

In this double-blind trial, we randomly assigned patients with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk to receive liraglutide or placebo. The primary composite outcome in the time-to-event analysis was the first occurrence of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke. The primary hypothesis was that liraglutide would be noninferior to placebo with regard to the primary outcome, with a margin of 1.30 for the upper boundary of the 95% confidence interval of the hazard ratio. No adjustments for multiplicity were performed for the prespecified exploratory outcomes.

Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (G.H.D.); Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark (K.B.-F., P.K., L.S.R., M.S.); Friedrich Alexander University of Erlangen, Erlangen (J.F.E.M.), and St. Josef Hospital, Ruhr University, Bochum (M.A.N.) - both in Germany; Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland (S.E.N.); London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Medical Statistics Unit (S.P.) and Imperial College London (N.R.P.), London; George Washington University Medical Center, Washington, DC (W.M.S.); Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum







New area of concern peripheral vascular disease



42

Microvascular

Increased in diabetes







THIAZIDE DIURETICS INCREASE LOWER EXTREMITY AMPUTATIONS IN T2DM

- PHARMO RECORD LINKAGE SYSTEM
- POST MARKETING SURVEILLANCE STUDY
- 8 DUTCH CITIES
 - STUDY N=120 PATIENTS
- ALL ON ANTI-HYPERTENSIVE AGENTS
- HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE
 - Amputations in t2dm 3.9 per 10,000 patient years



Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 2004; 13: 139–146

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes

Bruce Neal, M.B., Ch.B., Ph.D., Vlado Perkovic, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Kenneth W. Mahaffey, M.D., Dick de Zeeuw, M.D., Ph.D., Greg Fulcher, M.D., Ngozi Erondu, M.D., Ph.D., Wayne Shaw, D.S.L., Gordon Law, Ph.D., Mehul Desai, M.D., and David R. Matthews, D.Phil., B.M., B.Ch., for the CANVAS Program Collaborative Group*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Canagliflozin is a sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor that reduces glycemia as well as blood pressure, body weight, and albuminuria in people with diabetes. We report the effects of treatment with canagliflozin on cardiovascular, renal, and safety outcomes.

METHODS

The CANVAS Program integrated data from two trials involving a total of 10,142 participants with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk. Participants in each trial were randomly assigned to receive canagliflozin or placebo and were followed for a mean of 188.2 weeks. The primary outcome was a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke.

Table 2. Adverse Events.*

Event	Canagliflozin	Placebo	P Value†
	event rate per 10	00 patient-yr	
All serious adverse events	104.3	120.0	0.04
Adverse events leading to discontinuation	35.5	32.8	0.07
Serious and nonserious adverse events of interest recorded in the CANVAS Program			
Amputation	6.3	3.4	<0.001





CANVAS-EASD 15 SEPT 2017 AMPUTATION RISK FACTORS-UNIVARIATE ANALYSIS



EMPA- REG peripheral artery disease analysis

Δ	Empagliflozin	Placebo			Treatment
~	n with event/ N	l analyzed (%)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	by subgroup
CV death	2 groups			627	Interdention
All patients	172/4687 (3.7)	137/2333 (5.9)	0.62 (0.49, 0.77)	→	
PAD at baseline	18 (18))	· · · ·	1 30 S		p=0.6684
No	128/3704 (3.5)	101/1853 (5.5)	0.64 (0.49, 0.82)		1 0 1. 8080348860
Yes	44/982 (4.5)	36/479 (7.5)	0.57 (0.37, 0.88)	⊢−−+ −−+	
Lower limb amputat	tion			201	
All patients	88/4687 (1.9)	43/2333 (1.8)	1.00 (0.70, 1.44)	→	
PAD at baseline	1230) - 124	12 18	20 1900		p=0.2752
No	34/3704 (0.9)	13/1853 (0.7)	1.30 (0.69, 2.46)	· · · · ·	-
Yes	54/982 (5.5)	30/479 (6.3)	0.84 (0.54, 1.32)	► • • • • • • • • •	
15975023		7	_		
			0.25	0.5 1 2	4
			4-		
			Fa	avors empagliflozin Favors p	lacebo



Circulation. 2018;137:405-407

TIME TO CARDIOVASCULAR (CV) DEATH: BY PAD

Circulation. 2018;137:405-407

POF



-15

Δ	Empagliflozin	Placebo	20 17 10 19555 15	10.0 10 00 000000
~	n with event/ I	V analyzed (%)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
CV death	2 groups	20 10 1		EMPA Control
All patients	172/4687 (3.7)	137/2333 (5.9)	0.62 (0.49.0.77)	
PAD at baseline				
No	128/3704 (3.5)	101/1853 (5.5)	0.64 (0.49, 0.82)	
Yes	44/982 (4.5)	36/479 (7.5)	0.57 (0.37, 0.88)	⊢−−− −−
All-cause mortality				
All patients	269/4687 (5.7)	194/2333 (8.3)	0.68 (0.57, 0.82)	
PAD at baseline				
No	195/3704 (5.3)	139/1853 (7.5)	0.70 (0.57, 0.87)	,
Yes	74/982 (7.5)	55/479 (11.5)	0.62 (0.44, 0.88)	
3-point MACE	S 6	53 BA		
All patients	490/4687 (10.5)	282/2333 (12.1)	0.86 (0.74, 0.99)	
PAD at baseline			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
No	370/3704 (10.0)	216/1853 (11.7)	0.86 (0.73, 1.02)	
Yes	120/982 (12.2)	66/479 (13.8)	0.84 (0.62, 1.14)	
4-point MACE				
All patients	599/4687 (12.8)	333/2333 (14.3)	0.89 (0.78, 1.01)	
PAD at baseline		es contractioner (Contraction)		
No	460/3704 (12.4)	263/1853 (14.2)	0.87 (0.75, 1.02)	•••
Yes	139/982 (14.2)	70/479 (14.6)	0.93 (0.70, 1.24)	
Hospitalization for h	neart failure			392 - 42,534,31,31, 925
All patients	126/4687 (2.7)	95/2333 (4.1)	0.65 (0.50, 0.85)	▶ — ♦ —•
PAD at baseline				
No	88/3704 (2.4)	66/1853 (3.6)	0.68 (0.49, 0.93)	·····
Yes	38/982 (3.9)	29/479 (6.1)	0.56 (0.35, 0.92)	·
Heart failure hospitu	alization or CV death			
All patients	265/4687 (5.7)	198/2333 (8.5)	0.66 (0.55, 0.79)	
PAD at baseline	20030-022-022-021			
No	190/3704 (5.1)	147/1853 (7.9)	0.65 (0.52, 0.81)	• ••• •
Yes	75/982 (7.6)	51/479 (10.6)	0.65 (0.45, 0.93)	

-6

Microvascular disease in diabetes with new cardiovascular drugs for diabetes

Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study

Irene M Stratton, Amanda I Adler, H Andrew W Neil, David R Matthews, Susan E Manley, Carole A Cull, David Hadden, Robert C Turner, Rury R Holman on behalf of the UK Prospective **Diabetes Study Group**

Abstract

Objective To determine the relation between exposure to glycaemia over time and the risk of macrovascular or microvascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes. Design Prospective observational study. Setting 23 hospital based clinics in England, Scotland, and Northern Ireland. Participants 4585 white, Asian Indian, and Afro-Caribbean UKPDS patients, whether randomised or not to treatment, were included in analyses of incidence; of these, 3642 were included in analyses of relative risk.

after diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, which achieved a EditorialTay Tecrniktee median haemoglobin A., (HhA.) of 7.0% compared Disbetes Trials Unit, with 7.9% in those allocated to conventional treatment Duford Centre for over a median 10.0 years of follow up, has shown a Diabetes, substantial reduction in the risk of microvascular com-Endocrinology and plications, with a reduction in the risk of myocardial infarction of borderline significance.3 Complementary information for estimates of the risk of complications at different levels of glycaemia can be obtained from observational analyses of data during the study. In patients with type 2 diabetes previous prospective studies have shown an association between the

inne 11 mone

Metabolism. University of Oxford, Racklife Infernary, Oxford OX2 GHE frome M Stratton seeker alatheliolant Amunda 1 Adler **Highierside** Susan E Manley degree of hyperglycaemia and increased risk of micro-

bischemits.



Updated mean haemoglobin A1c concentration (%)

UKPDS 35

Reducing glucose is beneficial



POOR GLUCOSE CONTROL LEADS TO INCREASED

- Type 1 diabetes children
- SINGAPORE KK WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN HOSPITAL
- 55 PEDIATRIC T1D
- 1 YEAR FOLLOW UP
- RETINAL PHOTOGRAPHY-TRAINED GRADERS
- MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ADJUSTING FOR ETHNICITY, BMI, LDL and duration of

Li et al. BMC Ophthalmology (2017) 17:60

Larger average retinal arteriolar branching angle

b

а

wider average retinal arteriolar caliber

Poor control

Good control

Summary

Effects of intensive glucose control on microvascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised controlled trials

Sophia Zoungas, Hisatami Arima, Hertzel C Gerstein, Rury R Halman, Mark Woodward, Peter Reaven, Rodney A Hayward, Timothy Craven, Ruth L Caleman, John Chalmers, the Collaborators on Trials of Lowering Glucose (CONTROL) group

Summary

Background Intensive glucose control is understood to prevent complications in adults with type 2 diabetes. We aimed to more precisely estimate the effects of more intensive glucose control, compared with less intensive glucose control, on the risk of microvascular events.

Methods In this meta-analysis, we obtained de-identified individual participant data from large-scale randomised controlled trials assessing the effects of more intensive glucose control versus less intensive glucose control in adults with type 2 diabetes, with at least 1000 patient-years of follow-up in each treatment group and a minimum of 2 years average follow-up on randomised treatment. The prespecified and standardised primary outcomes were kidney events (a composite of end-stage kidney disease, renal death, development of an estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min per 1.73m², or development of overt diabetic nephropathy), eve events (a composite of requirement for retinal photocoagulation therapy or vitrectomy, development of proliferative retinopathy, or progression of diabetic retinopathy), and nerve events (a composite of new loss of vibratory sensation, ankle reflexes, or light touch). We used a random-effects model to calculate overall estimates of effect.

Findings We included four trials (ACCORD, ADVANCE, UKPDS, and VADT) with 27049 participants. 1626 kidney events, 795 eye events, and 7598 nerve events were recorded during the follow-up period (median 5-0 years, IQR 4-5-5-0). Compared with less intensive glucose control, more intensive glucose control resulted in an absolute difference of -0.90% (95% CI -1.22 to -0.58) in mean HbA, at completion of follow-up. The relative risk was reduced by 20% for kidney events (hazard ratio 0.80, 95% CI 0.72 to 0.88; p<0.0001) and by 13% for eve events (0.87, 0.76 to 1.00; p=0.04), but was not reduced for nerve events (0.98, 0.87 to 1.09; p=0.68).

Interpretation More intensive glucose control over 5 years reduced both kidney and eye events. Glucose lowering remains important for the prevention of long-term microvascular complications in adults with type 2 diabetes.

	More intensive glucose control	Less intensive glucose control		Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Primary <mark>kidney</mark> out	come			
ACCORD ⁹	383/21641 (1.8%)	484/21554 (2.2%)		0.79 (0.69-0.90)
ADVANCE ¹⁰	233/25728 (0.9%)	301/25675 (1·2%)		0.77 (0.65-0.91)
UKPDS ⁸	127/10852 (1.2%)	54/4515 (1.2%)	_	0.98 (0.71-1.35)
VADT ¹¹	18/3818 (0.5%)	26/3878 (0.7%)	· · · · · · · · ·	0.70 (0.39-1.28)
Overall	761/62039 (1.2%)	865/55622 (1.6%)	\diamond	0.80 (0.72-0.88)
l ² =0.0%; p=0.58				
Primary eye outcor	ne			
ACCORD ⁹	131/6135 (2.1%)	167/6104 (2.7%)		0.79 (0.64-0.98)
ADVANCE ¹⁰	35/2992 (1.2%)	49/2901 (1·7%)		0.83 (0.56-1.22)
UKPDS ⁸	200/5300 (3.8%)	88/2251 (3.9%)		0.95 (0.74-1.23)
VADT ¹¹	62/450 (13.8%)	63/453 (13.9%)		0·94 (0·66–1·34)
Overall	428/14877 (2.9%)	367/11709 (3.1%)	\diamond	0.87 (0.76-1.00)
l ² =0.0%; p=0.69				
Primary nerve outc	ome			
ACCORD	2055/14979 (13.7%)	2210/14923 (14-8%)	=	0.92 (0.87-0.98)
ADVANCE	1373/23752 (5·8%)	1299/23876 (5·4%)	=	1.07 (0.99-1.15)
UKPDS	453/12247 (3·7%)	208/5087 (4.1%)		0.93 (0.78-1.10)
Overall	3881/50978 (7.6%)	3717/43887 (8.5%)	< ♦	0.98 (0.87-1.09)
<i>I</i> ² =78·1%; p=0·011				
		0-25	5 0.50 1.00	2.00
117.5./		Favours	more intensive Favours	less intensive

Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2017; 5: 431–37

glucose control glucose control

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes

 Bernard Zinman, M.D., Christoph Wanner, M.D., John M. Lachin, Sc.D., David Fitchett, M.D., Erich Bluhmki, Ph.D., Stefan Hantel, Ph.D., Michaela Mattheus, Dipl. Biomath., Theresa Devins, Dr.P.H.,
Odd Erik Johansen, M.D., Ph.D., Hans J. Woerle, M.D., Uli C. Broedl, M.D., and Silvio E. Inzucchi, M.D., for the EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The effects of empagliflozin, an inhibitor of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2, in addition to standard care, on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes at high cardiovascular risk are not known.

METHODS

We randomly assigned patients to receive 10 mg or 25 mg of empagliflozin or placebo once daily. The primary composite outcome was death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke, as analyzed in the pooled empagliflozin group versus the placebo group. The key secondary composite outcome was the primary outcome plus hospitalization for unstable angina.

RESULTS

A total of 7020 patients were treated (median observation time, 3.1 years). The



Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes

Bruce Neal, M.B., Ch.B., Ph.D., Vlado Perkovic, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Kenneth W. Mahaffey, M.D., Dick de Zeeuw, M.D., Ph.D., Greg Fulcher, M.D., Ngozi Erondu, M.D., Ph.D., Wayne Shaw, D.S.L., Gordon Law, Ph.D., Mehul Desai, M.D., and David R. Matthews, D.Phil., B.M., B.Ch., for the CANVAS Program Collaborative Group*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Canagliflozin is a sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor that reduces glycemia as well as blood pressure, body weight, and albuminuria in people with diabetes. We report the effects of treatment with canagliflozin on cardiovascular, renal, and safety outcomes.

METHODS

The CANVAS Program integrated data from two trials involving a total of 10,142 participants with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk. Participants in each trial were randomly assigned to receive canagliflozin or placebo and were followed for a mean of 188.2 weeks. The primary outcome was a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke.

Outcome	Canagliflozin (N=5795)	Placebo (N=4347)	Hazard Ratio (95	% CI)
no. a	f participants p	er 1000 patie	nt-yr	•
Death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke	26.9	31.5		0.86 (0.75–0.97)
Death from cardiovascular causes	11.6	12.8	⊢ ● 1	0.87 (0.72-1.06)
Nonfatal myocardial infarction	9.7	11.6	⊢ ●	0.85 (0.69-1.05)
Nonfatal stroke	7.1	8.4	⊢● -¦(0.90 (0.71-1.15)
Fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction	11.2	12.6	⊢	0.89 (0.73-1.09)
Fatal or nonfatal stroke	7.9	9.6	⊢ _	0.87 (0.69-1.09)
Hospitalization for any cause	118.7	131.1	He-	0.94 (0.88-1.00)
Hospitalization for heart failure	5.5	8.7	⊢ −●−−−1	0.67 (0.52-0.87)
Death from cardiovascular causes or hospitalization for heart failure	16.3	20.8	H.	0.78 (0.67–0.91)
Death from any cause	17.3	19.5	⊢ ●→Ì	0.87 (0.74-1.01)
Progression of albuminuria	89.4	128.7	HOH)	0.73 (0.67–0.79)
40% reduction in eGFR, renal-replaceme therapy, or renal death	nt 5.5	9.0		0.60 (0.47–0.77)
			0.5 1.0	2.0
			Canagliflozin Better Placebo Bet	ter

N Engl J Med 2017;377:644-57

GLP-1 reduces kidney failure



ESTABLISHED IN 1812

VOL. 375 NO. 4

Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes

JULY 28, 2016

Steven P. Marso, M.D., Gilbert H. Daniels, M.D., Kirstine Brown-Frandsen, M.D., Peter Kristensen, M.D., E.M.B.A., Johannes F.E. Mann, M.D., Michael A. Nauck, M.D., Steven E. Nissen, M.D., Stuart Pocock, Ph.D., Neil R. Poulter, F.Med.Sci., Lasse S. Ravn, M.D., Ph.D., William M. Steinberg, M.D., Mette Stockner, M.D., Bernard Zinman, M.D., Richard M. Bergenstal, M.D., and John B. Buse, M.D., Ph.D., for the LEADER Steering Committee on behalf of the LEADER Trial Investigators*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The cardiovascular effect of liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue, when added to standard care in patients with type 2 diabetes, remains unknown.

METHODS

In this double-blind trial, we randomly assigned patients with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk to receive liraglutide or placebo. The primary composite outcome in the time-to-event analysis was the first occurrence of death from cardiovascular tal, Ruhr University, Bochum (M.A.N.) causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke. The primary hypothesis was that liraglutide would be noninferior to placebo with regard to the primary outcome, with a margin of 1.30 for the upper boundary of the 95% confidence interval of the hazard ratio. No adjustments for multiplicity were performed for the prespecified exploratory outcomes.

From the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas (S.P.M.): Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (G.H.D.); Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark (K.B.-F., P.K., L.S.R., M.S.); Friedrich Alexander University of Erlangen, Erlangen (I.F.E.M.), and St. losef Hospi-- both in Germany; Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland (S.E.N.); London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Medical Statistics Unit (S.P.) and Imperial College London (N.R.P.), London; George Washington University Medical Center, Washington, DC (W.M.S.); Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum







ADA/AACE RECOMMENDED GLYCEMIC TARGETS FOR ICU AND NON-ICU SETTINGS

ICU

- Initiate insulin therapy for persistent hyperglycemia (glucose>180 mg/dl)
- Treatment goal: For most patients, target a glucose level 140-180 mg/dl
- More stringent goals (110-140 mg/dl) may be appropriate for selected patients, if achievable without significant risk for hypoglycemia

No specific guidelines for insulin initiation

Non-ICU

- If treated with insulin:
 - Pre-meal glucose <140 mg/dl
 - Random glucose <180 mg/dl
- More stringent targets may be appropriate for patients with previously tight glycemic control
- Less stringent targets may be appropriate in patients with severe comorbidities

Closing comments

CV LOOK @ TYPE 2 DIABETES AGENTS

Trial	\downarrow CV events	↓ CV death	↓ heart failure hospitalizations	↓ Nephropathy
EMPA-SGLT2I	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CANA	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
LIRA-GLP-1	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
SEMA	Yes	No	No	Yes



Already on standard of care

Chilton pending 2018





30 y/o Egyptian princess with atherosclerosis

Thank you



- Patients with diabetes are at increased risk of vascular complications and hospitalizations for CV related events compared to patients without diabetes
- Diabetes and hypertension are among the 9 modifiable risk factors that account for >90% of the risk of initial acute MI
- For most hospitalized patients with diabetes, target a glucose level of 140-180 mg/dl
- Newer treatments for diabetes, including SGLT2 and GLP-1 indicators, have been shown to reduce micro and macrovascular events
- More intensive glucose control has been associated with a 20% reduction in kidney disease
- Prior to discharge of a patient with diabetes, ADA guidelines recommend measurement of hbA1c level

Thank You!

